## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	s)	
10/801,363	WEBER ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
FATOUMATA TRAORE	2136		

	FATOUMATA TRAORE	2136				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 15 February 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
1.   In reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, afficative, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
b) Mean The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whiche no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: (16 bx 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED.						
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.138(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.138(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled it is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount for file 7. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filled, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL						
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp						
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).						
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because  (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below):						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		lucing or simplifying t	ne issues for			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a of NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.				
	21 See attached Notice of Non-Co	mnliant Amendment (	PTOL-324)			
<ol> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).</li> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ol>						
<ol> <li>Application to provide a recommendation of the following repeated in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>						
7.  ☐ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is for will be as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-19</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  See Continuation Sheet.						
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. ☐ Other:						
/Nasser G Moazzami/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2136	02/13/2008					

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: In regard to applicant argument, the examiner respectfully disagrees and submits that Gilley et al in fact disacloses that the microprocessor is adapted to calculate a serial number (a present authentication code) (column 6, lines 18-22), too mhere the calculated serial number (present authentication ode (PAC)) to the stored serial number (authorized authentication code (PAC)) to the stored serial number (authorized authentication code AAC), and to execute or not execute at least part of the code as a function of a result of the comparison(the programmable device can take a number of different actions including refusing to conduct certain functions, refusing to operate at all, or defaulting to a lower feature set) (column 4, lines 22-33; ocumn 7, lines 1-17b.